

REMARKS

Claims 9-13 are pending. Claims 1-8 and 14-35 have been cancelled. The Examiner's reconsideration of the objection and rejection is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks.

The Abstract of the Disclosure has been objected to for various informalities. The Abstract of the Disclosure has been amended to delete the last sentence and delete "is provided" in the first sentence. The Abstract of the Disclosure is believed to be in proper format. The Examiner's reconsideration of the objection is respectfully requested.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication that claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and claims 10-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Claim 9 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.


Claim 9 claims, *inter alia*, "at least three vertical panels attached on one surface of the support panel, wherein each of the vertical panels has a vertical body panel and a plurality of protrusions extended from a top surface of the vertical body panel to define a plurality of slots therebetween, the protrusions having a first set of protrusions interleaved with a second set of protrusions, the plurality of protrusions each comprising vertical sidewall portions extending from respective vertical body panels and positive sloped sidewall portions extending from the vertical sidewalls, the vertical sidewalls of the second set of protrusions being lower or higher than the vertical sidewalls of the first set of protrusions."

The portions of claim 9 addressed in the rejection have been amended. For example, the structural relationship between the protrusions and the slots has been clarified. Further, the phrase "that define lower widths of the plurality of slots" has been deleted and the relationship between the vertical sidewall portions and the sloped sidewall portions has been clarified. The terms "lower" and "upper" have been deleted. In addition, it has been clarified that "the plurality of protrusions each comprising vertical sidewall portions extending from the support panel and positive sloped sidewall portions extending from the vertical sidewalls." Accordingly, claim 9 is believed to be in condition for allowance. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 10 has been amended to delete the word "lower", consistent with the language of claim 9.

For the foregoing reasons, the application, including claims 9-13, is believed to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable reconsideration of the objection is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Nathaniel T. Wallace
Reg. No. 48,909
Attorney for Applicants

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, New York 11797
TEL: (516) 692-8888
FAX: (516) 692-8889